

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,729	03/03/2004	Chun-Hsung Chen	2450-0641P	2623	
2292 7	590 07/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			BELLINGE	R, JASON R	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summary		10/790,729	CHEN, CHUN-HSUNG	40			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
-		Jason R Bellinger	3617				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence address				
THE - Exté afte - If the - If NG - Faile Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		he Examiner.				
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	. ,— .					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • •	• •).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	.,,,,				
:	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appli	cation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
1	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
:							
Attachmer	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Potent and	Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/790,729

Art Unit: 3617

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "comprises", "means", and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 19 of page 1, the phrase "hub 4" should be replaced with the phrase --rim 6-- to correspond to the drawing figures depicting the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

1044,000

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is indefinite due to the fact that it is unclear what is actually being claimed by the phrase "including two circular walls symmetrically on the left and right sides". The claim is further indefinite due to the fact that it is unclear

Art Unit: 3617

whether or not the bottom walls of the two circular walls is coupled to a bottom of the wheel or forms the bottom of the wheel. The claim is further indefinite due to the fact that it is unclear whether or not a wheel wall of the two circular walls is transversely coupled to a middle portion of the wheel or forms a middle portion of the wheel.

- The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- The term "long" in claim 4 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite.

 The term "long" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. In this case, it is unclear what attribute of the grooves of the invention is being described by the term "long".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon et al in view of Okajima. As best understood, Dixon et al shows a bicycle spoke

化水水油 化双键数据 计图像 法事务的

Application/Control Number: 10/790,729

打把拿一排放车罐 可加克尼爾薩德國門 國籍廣告 医外胚 电流电流电流 机分分离

Art Unit: 3617

fixture assembly including a metal spoke 2 with a screw head 45 at a first end. A wheel rim includes left and right symmetrical annular walls (18 & 19), a bottom wall 11 coupled to the left ad right walls (18 & 19) to form a bottom of the wheel, and a wheel wall 25 transversally coupled to a middle portion of the left and right walls (18 & 19) to enclose a circular space. A hole 40 is disposed on the wheel wall 25 an appropriate distance from and corresponding to a spoke hole 41 in the bottom wall 11 for receiving a spoke 2. A cushion member 42 is placed in the circular space and latched into the spoke hole 41 for passing and fixing the spoke 2. The space of the wheel has a substantially V-shaped bottom.

Dixon et al does not disclose the spoke connection with the wheel hub. Okajima teaches the use of a spoke having a threaded end 44 coupled with a locking member 46 passing through a through hole 34 in the periphery of a wheel hub. Therefore from this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the spoke of Dixon et al by the means taught by Okajima for the purpose of facilitating the tensioning of the spokes.

Dixon et al does not show the cushion member having a substantially trapezium shape that corresponds to the shape of the space in the wheel to maintain a fixed position. Okajima teaches the use of a cushion (62 & 64) having a shape that conforms to the portion 34 of the wheel in which the cushion (62 & 64) is mounted to maintain a fixed position in the wheel. Therefore from this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the cushion of Dixon et al in a shape that corresponds to the shape of the space in the wheel in which the

Art Unit: 3617

cushion is mounted, preventing the cushion from rotating during use, and thus maintaining the tensioning of the spokes.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 3-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references are considered to show bicycle wheels having cushion members securing the spokes to the rim. For example, Campagnolo shows a wheel of the type described above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason R Bellinger whose telephone number is 703-308-6298. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs (9:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason R Bellinger Examiner Art Unit 3617

S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

jrb